Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

<u> </u>							
TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Application Number		10/719,32	10/719,327	
			Filing Date		11/21/200	11/21/2003	
			First Named Inventor		Kenneth F	Kenneth F. Fennewald et al.	
			Art Unit		3742	3742	
			Examiner Name		Leonid M.	Leonid M. Fastovsky	
Total Number of Pages in T		Attorney	Docket Number	7377H-00	0005/US		
		ENCLO	SURES (ci	heck all that apply)			
Part B – Issue Fee Transmittal Form		Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)			
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers		1 — …	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment / Reply		Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprie	Proprietary Information		
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Change of Correspondence Address		Status Letter			
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):			
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)			Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance; and Return Receipt Postcard		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement						_	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Rema	rks				
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application							
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53							
	SIGNA	TURE OF A	APPLICAL	NT, ATTORNEY,	OR AGENT		
Firm or Harness, Dickey & Individual name		Pierce, P.L.C. Attorney Name Kelly K. Burris		1	eg. No. 5,361		
Signature	Kellyk Buris						
Date February 13, 2007							
	С	ERTIFICAT	TE OF TR	ANSMISSION/MA	AILING		
I hereby certify that this conservice with sufficient pon Alexandria, VA 22313-145	stage as first of	class mail in	imile trans an envelo	mitted to the USPT(ope addressed to:	O or deposited Commissioner	with the United States Postal for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,	
Typed or printed name Leah R. Wars					Express Mail Label No.	EV 757 778 940 US (2/13/2007)	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature

Date

February 13, 2007

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

10/719,327

Filing Date:

11/21/2003

Applicants:

Kenneth F. Fennewald et al.

Group Art Unit:

3742

Examiner:

Leonid M. Fastovsky

Title:

TWO-WIRE LAYERED HEATER SYSTEM

Attorney Docket:

7377H-000005/US

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

In response to the Notice of Allowance mailed November 16, 2006, please consider the remarks set forth below.

Applicants gratefully note the allowance of 6-11, 13, 19-23 and 25 in the present application. Reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear the Examiner's reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104(e). In the present application, Applicants believe the record as a whole does make clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, Applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the Reasons for Allowance. While Applicants

believe the claims are allowable, Applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides solely in the specific feature or combination of features identified, or that each feature or combination of features identified is required for patentability, or that equivalents of any of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims. Moreover, to the extent the Reasons for Allowance do not separately address the subject matter of each claim, Applicants do not acquiesce to any inference that any one claim does not present patentable subject matter independent of any other claim.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 13, 2007

Reg. No. 46,361

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

KKB/Irw

Serial No.: 7377H-000005/US Page 2 of 2